

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/626,535	07/27/2000	Donald F. Hooper	42390. P7876X 1214	
7590 04/26/2006			EXAMINER	
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			ENG, DAVID Y	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/626,535	HOOPER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID Y. ENG	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	hruany 2006					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/626,535

Art Unit: 2155

Claim 2 has been cancelled. Newly submitted claim 21 has been entered. The active claims are 1 and 3-21.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 3-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belkin (USP 6,604,125) in view of Allison (USP 6,373,848).

Details of the rejection have already been set forth in the last Office action. The details are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

As to claim 21, see lines 53-58 of column 4 in Belkin. Claim 21 is nothing more than a component list because there is no detail recitation as to how those components are being used to process data blocks of the same packet. ALU, counter and control store are basic components of a thread execution unit.

In the communication filed on 2/24/2006, Applicants argue for patentability that the claims recite processing first and second data blocks of the **same** (Applicants' emphasis) packet with different threads whereas in Belkin requests are being processed simultaneously by the threads. The Examiner disagrees. The claims recite **scheduling** (Examiner's emphasis) of a first thread to process a first block of data within a network packet and Scheduling of a second thread to process a second block of data within the network packet. The claims do not positively recite that the blocks of data are of the same packet. There is no showing in the claims as to how scheduling of the data blocks of the same packet is different than the scheduling of requests in Belkin. There is no showing in the claims as to how processing of the two data blocks of the same packet is

different than the processing of the two requests in Belkin. Applicants fail to provide argument as to why the claimed invention is patentable distinct over Belkin. Merely argue what the claims require is not sufficient to overcome the rejection. In re Nielson, 816 F.2d 1567, 2 USPQ 1525 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The court held that simply pointing out what a claim requires with no attempt to point out how the claims patentably distinguish over the prior art does not amount to a separate argument for patentability.

Furthermore, The specification does not support Applicants' argument. See lines 10-12 of page 4 and the last paragraph of page 7. The specification discloses that microengine threads are assigned to process different tasks such as scheduling receive and transmit and not data blocks of the same packet.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2155

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID Y. ENG whose telephone number is 571-272-3984. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SALEH NAJJAR, can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER